1	continued from November 30, 2007 to January 18, 2008. The reasons for these requests are that
2	counsel had their phone conference with the Court-appointed mediator today, and due to the fact
3	that both plaintiffs' counsel and defense counsel are in trial during the week of November 26,
4	2007, it will not be possible to complete the ADR process by November 29, 2007. Additionally,
5	during the phone conference, the parties and the mediator agreed an ENE would be the better
6	ADR process, with the possibility of converting the ENE to a mediation, if the opportunity
7	arises. Since ADR cannot be completed by November 29, 2007, the parties request the next
8	CMC (scheduled for November 30, 2007) be continued to a date after ADR is completed. The
9	parties and the mediator agreed to conduct the ADR on January 3, 2008. Accordingly, the
10	parties request the Court order that:
11	 ADR for this case be converted from a mediation to an ENE with the possibility of conducting a mediation if the opportunity arises;
12	conducting a mediation if the opportunity arises,
13	 The last day to complete the ADR process be extended from November 29, 2007 to January 11, 2008; and
14	The next Case Management Conference be continued from November 30, 2007 to
15	January 18, 2008. MANUELA ALBUQUERQUE, CITY ATTORNEY
16	MATTHEW J. OREBIC, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
17	Dated: November 20, 2007. By /s/
18	Matthew J. Orebic Attorney for Defendants SGT. T. CURTIN,
19	Officer G. Pon, Officer J. Luna, Officer K.
20	DEBLASI, OFFICER F. ONCIANO, AND SGT. J. LOUIS
21	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN E. HILL
22	Dated: November 20, 2007. By Sy John E. Hill
23	Counsel for Plaintiffs FRANK CISNEROS,
24	BEATRICE CISNEROS, AND KASI CISNEROS
25	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated:
27	JOSEPH C. SPERO
28	Magistrate Judge